

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/309,274	05/11/1999		ALEXANDER I. MCALLISTER	414.028 7294		
32127	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
VERIZON	ANIACEN	VENT CROUP	PHAN, JOSEPH T			
		MENT GROUP USE ROAD, SUITE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22201-2909	2614			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief 09/309,274 MCALLISTER, ALEXANDER I. Fyaminer Art Unit

•	LAGITITIES	Attonic	
	Joseph T. Phan	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	cliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	adding or ouripmying	110 100000 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-62</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Several critical limitations were added to the claims which would require further consideration and/or search..

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600